

Which Root Does the Bisection Algorithm Find? Author(s): George Corliss Source: SIAM Review, Vol. 19, No. 2 (Apr., 1977), pp. 325-327 Published by: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2029507 Accessed: 23/12/2013 15:44

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to SIAM Review.

http://www.jstor.org

WHICH ROOT DOES THE BISECTION ALGORITHM FIND?*

GEORGE CORLISS[†]

A student of elementary probability may be amused by an application of probability to numerical analysis.

Let f be a continuous function on the closed interval [a, b] such that f(a)f(b) < 0. Then f has at least one root α on (a, b). The well-known bisection algorithm [1, p. 28] generates a sequence $\{[a_k, b_k]\}$ of intervals on which a root is known to lie. Let $a_0 = a, b_0 = b$, and define $c_k = (a_k + b_k)/2$. If $f(c_k) = 0, c_k = \alpha$, and the algorithm terminates. If $f(a_k)f(c_k) < 0$, $\alpha \in (a_k, c_k)$, so let $[a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}] = [a_k, c_k]$. For the other possible case, if $f(a_k)f(c_k) > 0$, $\alpha \in (c_k, b_k)$, so let $[a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}] = [c_k, b_k]$. $|b_k - a_k| = 2^{-k}|b_0 - a_0|$, so that the bisection algorithm is guaranteed to converge to some root of f on [a, b].

If f has more than one root on [a, b], a problem in [1, p. 35] asks which root the bisection algorithm usually locates. If f has n distinct, simple roots $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ on $[a, b](f(x_i) = 0$ and $f'(x_i) \neq 0$), then it is well-known that the bisection algorithm finds the even numbered roots with probability zero. This paper shows that the probability of finding the odd numbered roots is uniform.

Let C_n denote the class of continuous functions which satisfy f(a)f(b) < 0with exactly *n* distinct, simple roots on (a, b). Let the roots of $f \in C_n$ be denoted by $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$. We assume that the locations of the roots are independent and distributed according to a uniform random distribution on [a, b]. Let $x_0 = a$ and $x_{n+1} = b$. Let $P_{i,n}$ denote the probability that the bisection algorithm converges to the *i*th root of *f*, given that $f \in C_n$. Let $Q_{i,n}$ denote the probability that

$$x_i < c_0 = (a_0 + b_0)/2 < x_{i+1},$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, given that $f \in C_n$.

We first note that *n* is odd and that $P_{i,n} = 0$ for all even *i* since at each step, the bisection algorithm discards the subinterval of length $(b_k - a_k)/2$ which contains an even number of roots. Hence for even *i*, x_i will be found if and only if $c_k = (a_k + b_k)/2 = x_i$ at some step of the algorithm.

THEOREM. For n odd,

$$P_{i,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{n+1} & \text{for } i \text{ odd,} \\ 0 & \text{for } i \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

If *i* is even, $P_{i,n} = 0$ was shown above. The proof for *i* odd is by induction on *n*. $P_{1,1} = 1$, for if *f* has only one root on [*a*, *b*], the bisection algorithm is guaranteed to find it.

^{*} Received by the editors September 8, 1975 and in revised form December 18, 1975.

[†] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588.

Assume that

$$P_{i,m} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{m+1} & \text{for } i \text{ odd,} \\ 0 & \text{for } i \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

for all odd m < n.

 $Q_{i,n} = \binom{n}{i}/2^n$ since it is equal to the probability that *i* of *n* trials (roots) land on the left half of [a, b].

If $x_j < c_0 = (a_0 + b_0)/2 < x_{j+1}$ for some fixed even *j*, then the probability of finding x_i is given by

$$\begin{cases} P_{i-j,n-j} & \text{for } i > j, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \le j, \end{cases}$$

since the bisection algorithm will proceed on the interval $[a_1, b_1] = [c_0, b_0]$, which contains n - j roots of f.

Similarly, if $x_j < c_0 < x_{j+1}$ for some fixed odd *j*, then the probability of finding x_i is given by

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i > j, \\ P_{i,i} & \text{for } i \leq j. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$P_{i,n} = \sum_{\substack{j=0\\j=0}}^{n} P(\text{finding } x_i \text{ given } x_j < c_0 < x_{j+1})$$

= $\sum_{\substack{j=0\\j \text{ even}}}^{i-1} Q_{j,n} P_{i-j,n-j} + \sum_{\substack{j=i\\j \text{ odd}}}^{n} Q_{j,n} P_{i,j}$
= $Q_{0,n} P_{i,n} + Q_{n,n} P_{i,n} + \sum_{\substack{j=2\\j \text{ even}}}^{i-1} Q_{j,n} P_{i-j,n-j}$
+ $\sum_{\substack{j=i\\j \text{ odd}}}^{n-2} Q_{j,n} P_{i,j},$

where we assume that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 0$. Hence,

$$P_{i,n} = \frac{1}{1 - Q_{0,n} - Q_{n,n}} \left[\sum_{\substack{j=2\\ j \text{ even}}}^{i-1} Q_{j,n} P_{i-j,n-j} + \sum_{\substack{j=i\\ j \text{ odd}}}^{n-2} Q_{j,n} P_{i,j} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^n - 2} \left[\sum_{\substack{j=2\\ j \text{ even}}}^{i-1} {n \choose j} \frac{2}{n-j+1} + \sum_{\substack{j=i\\ j \text{ odd}}}^{n-2} {n \choose j} \frac{2}{j+1} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^n - 2} \left[\sum_{\substack{j=2\\ j \text{ even}}}^{n-1} {n+1 \choose j} \right] \frac{2}{n+1}$$
$$= \frac{2}{n+1} \frac{1}{2^n - 2} \sum_{\substack{j=2\\ j \text{ even}}}^{n-1} \left[{n \choose j-1} + {n \choose j} \right] = \frac{2}{n+1}.$$

326

We have shown that if a function f has n distinct, simple roots, the bisection algorithm is equally likely to find each odd root.

REFERENCE

S. D. CONTE AND CARL DE BOOR, Elementary Numerical Analysis: An Algorithmic Approach, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.