



### Dynamics of the Logistic Map

Roberto De Leo Department of Mathematics

joint work with J.A. Yorke (UMD)

July 5, 2019 Slide 1/27

### Plan of the presentation:

• Fundamental concepts



### Plan of the presentation:

• Fundamental concepts

• General structure of a Dynamical System



### Plan of the presentation:

• Fundamental concepts

• General structure of a Dynamical System

• The case of the Logistic Map



### Fundamental

## Concepts



Slide 3/27 - Roberto De Leo - Dynamics of the Logistic Map

### **Dynamical System**

A Dynamical System on a topological space *X* is some continuous map (flow)  $\Phi : T \times X \to X$ , where usually the "time" set *T* is either  $\mathbb{R}$  (continuous time) or  $\mathbb{Z}$  (discrete time).



### **Dynamical System**

A Dynamical System on a topological space *X* is some continuous map (flow)  $\Phi : T \times X \to X$ , where usually the "time" set *T* is either  $\mathbb{R}$  (continuous time) or  $\mathbb{Z}$  (discrete time).

Given a point  $x \in X$ , the set  $\Phi_t(x)$ ,  $t \in T$ , is the *orbit* of x under the flow  $\Phi$ .



### Dynamical System

A Dynamical System on a topological space *X* is some continuous map (flow)  $\Phi : T \times X \to X$ , where usually the "time" set *T* is either  $\mathbb{R}$  (continuous time) or  $\mathbb{Z}$  (discrete time).

Given a point  $x \in X$ , the set  $\Phi_t(x)$ ,  $t \in T$ , is the *orbit* of x under the flow  $\Phi$ .

Example: given a complete vector field *v* on a smooth manifold *M*, the solutions of the ODE

 $\dot{x}(t) = v(x(t))$ 

generate a flow  $\Phi$  :  $\mathbb{R} \times M \to M$  so that  $x(t) = \Phi_t(x_0)$  is the solution to the ODE above with initial conditions  $x(0) = x_0$ .



When  $T = \mathbb{Z}$ , a flow is simply given by the iteration of a continuous map  $f : M \to M$ , namely

 $\Phi_n(x)=f^n(x), n>0,$ 

where here by  $f^n$  we mean the composition  $f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f$  of f with itself n times.



When  $T = \mathbb{Z}$ , a flow is simply given by the iteration of a continuous map  $f : M \to M$ , namely

 $\Phi_n(x)=f^n(x), n>0,$ 

where here by  $f^n$  we mean the composition  $f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f$  of f with itself n times.

In general *f* is not invertible and by  $f^{-n}(x)$ , n > 0, we mean the set of all points *y* such that  $f^{n}(y) = x$ .



When  $T = \mathbb{Z}$ , a flow is simply given by the iteration of a continuous map  $f : M \to M$ , namely

 $\Phi_n(x)=f^n(x), n>0,$ 

where here by  $f^n$  we mean the composition  $f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f$  of f with itself n times.

In general *f* is not invertible and by  $f^{-n}(x)$ , n > 0, we mean the set of all points *y* such that  $f^{n}(y) = x$ .

Finally,  $f^0$  is the identity map.



When  $T = \mathbb{Z}$ , a flow is simply given by the iteration of a continuous map  $f : M \to M$ , namely

 $\Phi_n(x)=f^n(x), n>0,$ 

where here by  $f^n$  we mean the composition  $f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f$  of f with itself n times.

In general *f* is not invertible and by  $f^{-n}(x)$ , n > 0, we mean the set of all points *y* such that  $f^{n}(y) = x$ .

Finally,  $f^0$  is the identity map.

From now on we wil consider only discrete dynamical systems.



Discrete dynamical systems arise naturally in many applied contexts, for example as Poincaré maps of continuous dynamical systems or as simplified models of continuous ones.



Discrete dynamical systems arise naturally in many applied contexts, for example as Poincaré maps of continuous dynamical systems or as simplified models of continuous ones.

In the continuous case, a great deal of information on the behaviour of the dynamics is given by the study of the stability of the fixed points and of the periodic solutions. The corresponding concept in the discrete case is the set of all *non-wandering points*, namely the set of all points with the property that each of their nbhds intersects eventually itself under the flow.



Discrete dynamical systems arise naturally in many applied contexts, for example as Poincaré maps of continuous dynamical systems or as simplified models of continuous ones.

In the continuous case, a great deal of information on the behaviour of the dynamics is given by the study of the stability of the fixed points and of the periodic solutions. The corresponding concept in the discrete case is the set of all *non-wandering points*, namely the set of all points with the property that each of their nbhds intersects eventually itself under the flow.

We denote by  $\Omega_f$  the set of non-wandering points of *f*.



Discrete dynamical systems arise naturally in many applied contexts, for example as Poincaré maps of continuous dynamical systems or as simplified models of continuous ones.

In the continuous case, a great deal of information on the behaviour of the dynamics is given by the study of the stability of the fixed points and of the periodic solutions. The corresponding concept in the discrete case is the set of all non-wandering points, namely the set of all points with the property that each of their nbhds intersects eventually itself under the flow.

We denote by  $\Omega_f$  the set of non-wandering points of f.

Example: every point in a finite orbit  $x, f(x), f^{2}(x), \dots, f^{n-1}(x)$ , with  $f^{n}(x) = x$ , is a non-wandering point. Slide 6/27 - Roberto De Leo - Dynamics of the Logistic Map





Given a point  $x \in X$  and a map  $f : X \to X$ , we call  $\omega_f(x)$  the set of all *forward* points of accumulation of the orbit of x under f.



Given a point  $x \in X$  and a map  $f : X \to X$ , we call  $\omega_f(x)$  the set of all *forward* points of accumulation of the orbit of x under f. Similarly, we call  $\alpha_f(x)$  the set of all *backward* points of accumulation of all backward orbits of x under f.



Given a point  $x \in X$  and a map  $f : X \to X$ , we call  $\omega_f(x)$  the set of all *forward* points of accumulation of the orbit of x under f. Similarly, we call  $\alpha_f(x)$  the set of all *backward* points of accumulation of all backward orbits of x under f.

By *attractor* A of  $f : X \to X$  we mean an invariant closed subset of non-wandering points such that there is a positive measure set of points  $x \in X$  with  $\omega_f(x) \subset A$ .



Given a point  $x \in X$  and a map  $f : X \to X$ , we call  $\omega_f(x)$  the set of all *forward* points of accumulation of the orbit of x under f. Similarly, we call  $\alpha_f(x)$  the set of all *backward* points of accumulation of all backward orbits of x under f.

By *attractor* A of  $f : X \to X$  we mean an invariant closed subset of non-wandering points such that there is a positive measure set of points  $x \in X$  with  $\omega_f(x) \subset A$ .

By *retractor* R of  $f : X \to X$  we mean an invariant closed subset of non-wandering points such that there is a positive measure set of points  $x \in X$  with  $\omega_f(x) \subset A$ .



### Natural Question

What can we say about the dynamics of points outside  $\Omega_f$ ?



### Natural Question

What can we say about the dynamics of points outside  $\Omega_f$ ?

In the rest of the talk we will review the main general results in this direction and will show that even in the most elementary case of the logistic map the situation can be far from trivial.



## Fundamental

### Theorem

# of Dynamics



### Morse decomposition (20s)





### Morse-Smale functions (60s)

In Sixties, S. Smale vastly generalized this observation by Morse to *Axiom-A* diffeomorphisms, namely diffeomorphisms *f* for which  $\Omega_f$  is hyperbolic (the tangent space decomposes into attracting and repelling subspaces) and periodic points are dense in  $\Omega_f$ . The celebrated Smale's horseshoe map is an Axiom-A map.



### Morse-Smale functions (60s)

In Sixties, S. Smale vastly generalized this observation by Morse to *Axiom-A* diffeomorphisms, namely diffeomorphisms *t* for which  $\Omega_t$  is hyperbolic (the tangent space decomposes into attracting and repelling subspaces) and periodic points are dense in  $\Omega_t$ . The celebrated Smale's horseshoe map is an Axiom-A map.

The motion of points outside of  $\Omega_f$  is *gradient-like*, namely points move monotonically from an invariant component of  $\Omega_f$  to some other one.



### Morse-Smale functions (60s)

In Sixties, S. Smale vastly generalized this observation by Morse to *Axiom-A* diffeomorphisms, namely diffeomorphisms *f* for which  $\Omega_f$  is hyperbolic (the tangent space decomposes into attracting and repelling subspaces) and periodic points are dense in  $\Omega_f$ . The celebrated Smale's horseshoe map is an Axiom-A map.

The motion of points outside of  $\Omega_f$  is *gradient-like*, namely points move monotonically from an invariant component of  $\Omega_f$  to some other one.

More technically, there exists a Lyapunov function *L* such that, for every *x* outside of  $\Omega_f$ , L(f(x)) < L(x) and *L* assumes different constant values on every invariant component of  $\Omega_f$ .



### Smale's horseshoe map





### Horseshoe's attractor





### Conley's Theorem (70s)

In Seventies Conley finally proved that every dynamical system on a compact metric space is gradient-like outside of an extension of  $\Omega_f$  called *chain-recurrent* set.



### Conley's Theorem (70s)

In Seventies Conley finally proved that every dynamical system on a compact metric space is gradient-like outside of an extension of  $\Omega_f$  called *chain-recurrent* set.

This is the set of all points  $\Re_{\{}$  with the following property: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a sequence of points  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$  such that  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$  and  $d(f(x_n), x_0) < \varepsilon$ .



### Conley's Theorem (70s)

In Seventies Conley finally proved that every dynamical system on a compact metric space is gradient-like outside of an extension of  $\Omega_f$  called *chain-recurrent* set.

This is the set of all points  $\mathcal{R}_{\{}$  with the following property: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a sequence of points  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$  such that  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$  and  $d(f(x_n), x_0) < \varepsilon$ . Note that  $\Omega_f \subset \mathcal{R}_{\{}$ .



## Example: Newton map of a complex polynomial



### Example: Newton map of a real polynomial map $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$





Slide 16/27 - Roberto De Leo - Dynamics of the Logistic Map

# The Logistic Map



### Some History

The history of the Logistic map

 $f_{\mu} = \mu x (1-x) : [0,1] \to [0,1], \ \mu \in [0,4],$ 

goes back at least to 30s, where it was introduced by Chaundy and Phillips in connection with population dynamics.



### Some History

The history of the Logistic map

 $f_{\mu} = \mu x (1-x) : [0,1] \to [0,1], \ \mu \in [0,4],$ 

goes back at least to 30s, where it was introduced by Chaundy and Phillips in connection with population dynamics.

It reappeared in 50s in a series of papers by Myrberg, that discovered the bifurcation cascade that became ultimately famous with Feigenbaum in 70s.



### Some History

The history of the Logistic map

 $f_{\mu} = \mu x (1-x) : [0,1] \to [0,1], \ \mu \in [0,4],$ 

goes back at least to 30s, where it was introduced by Chaundy and Phillips in connection with population dynamics.

It reappeared in 50s in a series of papers by Myrberg, that discovered the bifurcation cascade that became ultimately famous with Feigenbaum in 70s.

Usually the beginning of the massive interest and study of this map is set to the celebrated paper by biologist R. May "Simple mathematical models with very complicated dynamics", appeared on *Nature* in 1976.



### May's bifurcation diagram (1976)





### Full bifurcation diagram (1982?)



Slide 20/27 - Roberto De Leo - Dynamics of the Logistic Map

### Zoom of the bifurcation diagram



Slide 21/27 - Roberto De Leo - Dynamics of the Logistic Map

### Natural questions

1. what is the structure of  $\Omega_{f_{\mu}}$ ?



### Natural questions

1. what is the structure of  $\Omega_{f_{\mu}}$ ?

#### 2. what is going on for $\mu \ge \mu_{MF}$ ?



### Natural questions

1. what is the structure of  $\Omega_{f_{\mu}}$ ?

#### 2. what is going on for $\mu \ge \mu_{MF}$ ?

#### 3. how general is this behavior?



### Universality of the diagram



In general, this qualitative behavior is shared by all S-unimodal maps, namely maps with negative negative Schwartzian derivative:  $Sf(x) = \frac{f''(x)}{f'(x)} - \frac{3}{2} \left[ \frac{f''(x)}{f'(x)} \right]^2$ .

### S-unimodal maps

A first universal property of S-unimodal maps was discovered numerically by Feigenbaum: given the sequence of bifurcating values  $\mu_n$  at the left of  $\mu_{MF}$ , the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mu_n-\mu_{n-1}}{\mu_{n+1}-\mu_n}\simeq 4.6692$$

does not depend on the particular form of  $f_{\mu}$  as long as it is S-unimodal!



Let  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be any S-unimodal map. Define its topological entropy as  $\limsup \lim \sup \log \#\{(f^n)'(x) = 0\}$ , namely a measure of how fast the number of maxima and minima of  $f^n$  is increasing with *n*. Then:



Let  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be any S-unimodal map. Define its topological entropy as  $\limsup \lim \sup \log \#\{(f^n)'(x) = 0\}$ , namely a measure of how fast the number of maxima and minima of  $f^n$  is increasing with *n*. Then:

*f* has exactly one attractor *A<sub>f</sub>*, whose basin we denote by *B<sub>f</sub>* (70s);



Let  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be any S-unimodal map. Define its topological entropy as  $\limsup \lim \sup \log \#\{(f^n)'(x) = 0\}$ , namely a measure of how fast the number of maxima and minima of  $f^n$  is increasing with *n*. Then:

- *f* has exactly one attractor *A<sub>f</sub>*, whose basin we denote by *B<sub>f</sub>* (70s);
- **2** *f* has no "wandering intervals", namely  $[0,1] = \mathcal{B}_f \sqcup \Omega_f$  (70s);



Let  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be any S-unimodal map. Define its topological entropy as  $\limsup \lim \left\{ (f^n)'(x) = 0 \right\}$ , namely a measure of how fast the number of maxima and minima of  $f^n$  is increasing with *n*. Then:

- *f* has exactly one attractor *A<sub>f</sub>*, whose basin we denote by *B<sub>f</sub>* (70s);
- when h(f) = 0, the attractor can be either a cycle of 2<sup>k</sup> points or a zero-measure Cantor set and there is no chaos (80s);



Let  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be any S-unimodal map. Define its topological entropy as  $\limsup \lim \sup \log \#\{(f^n)'(x) = 0\}$ , namely a measure of how fast the number of maxima and minima of  $f^n$  is increasing with *n*. Then:

- *f* has exactly one attractor *A<sub>f</sub>*, whose basin we denote by *B<sub>f</sub>* (70s);
- **2** *f* has no "wandering intervals", namely  $[0,1] = \mathcal{B}_f \sqcup \Omega_f$  (70s);
- when h(f) = 0, the attractor can be either a cycle of 2<sup>k</sup> points or a zero-measure Cantor set and there is no chaos (80s);
- d when h(f) > 0, the attractor can be either a cycle of 2<sup>k</sup> ⋅ N points or a cycle of 2<sup>k</sup> ⋅ N *intervals* or a Cantor set and the dynamics is chaotic *but only in case of intervals the chaotic dynamics is supported on a set of*



### What is happening for $\mu \ge \mu_{MF}$ ?

The answer to this question was made rigorous only in 2000s!

The parameter space [0,4] can be decomposed into 3 disjoint sets *R* (regular ones, for which  $A_{f_mu}$  is a cycle of points), *F* (non-regular ones, for which  $A_{f_mu}$  is a cycle of intervals) and *I* (those for which the attractor is a Cantor set).

Then *R* is an open dense set (Graczyk and Swiatek, 1997), *F* is a Cantor set of non-zero measure (Jakobson, 1981) and *I* is a Cantor set of zero measure (Lyubich, 2002).



### Zoom of the bifurcation diagram



### Structure of $\Omega_{f_u}$

Several authors (Jonker and Rand, van Strien and de Melo, Lyubich and Blokh) studied the structure of  $\Omega_{f_u}$ :



### Structure of $\Omega_{f_{\mu}}$

Several authors (Jonker and Rand, van Strien and de Melo, Lyubich and Blokh) studied the structure of  $\Omega_{f_{\mu}}$ :

this set is the union of finite or at most countably many minimal invariant sets, one of which attracting and all others repelling, and these sets are either all finite cycles of points (when  $h(f_{\mu}) = 0$ ) or all zero-measure Cantor sets (when  $h(f_{\mu}) > 0$ ).



### Structure of $\Omega_{f_{\mu}}$

Several authors (Jonker and Rand, van Strien and de Melo, Lyubich and Blokh) studied the structure of  $\Omega_{f_{\mu}}$ :

this set is the union of finite or at most countably many minimal invariant sets, one of which attracting and all others repelling, and these sets are either all finite cycles of points (when  $h(f_{\mu}) = 0$ ) or all zero-measure Cantor sets (when  $h(f_{\mu}) > 0$ ).

To date, though, there is no work in literature that considers the *dynamical* structure of  $\Omega_f$ , namely the dynamics of *f* close to the components of  $\Omega_f$ . Notice that this question is equivalent to applying the Moser-Smale-Conley paradigm to the logistic map.



### Dynamics close to $\Omega_{f_u}$

Our result, joint work with J.A. Yorke, is the following:



### Dynamics close to $\Omega_{f_u}$

Our result, joint work with J.A. Yorke, is the following:

the minimal invariant components of  $\Omega_{I_{\mu}}$  can be linearly ordered as  $I_1, I_2, \ldots$  so that points arbitrarily close to  $I_k$  can get arbitrarily close to every  $I_{k'}$  with k' > k while are confined away from every  $I_{k'}$  with k' < k.



### Dynamics close to $\Omega_{f_{\mu}}$

Our result, joint work with J.A. Yorke, is the following:

the minimal invariant components of  $\Omega_{I_{\mu}}$  can be linearly ordered as  $I_1, I_2, \ldots$  so that points arbitrarily close to  $I_k$  can get arbitrarily close to every  $I_{k'}$  with k' > k while are confined away from every  $I_{k'}$  with k' < k.

We call such structure a *tower*. Such tower is always finite when the attractor is a cycle of points or intervals while it is infinite when the attractor is a Cantor set.



### Dynamics close to $\Omega_{f_{\mu}}$

Our result, joint work with J.A. Yorke, is the following:

the minimal invariant components of  $\Omega_{I_{\mu}}$  can be linearly ordered as  $I_1, I_2, \ldots$  so that points arbitrarily close to  $I_k$  can get arbitrarily close to every  $I_{k'}$  with k' > k while are confined away from every  $I_{k'}$  with k' < k.

We call such structure a *tower*. Such tower is always finite when the attractor is a cycle of points or intervals while it is infinite when the attractor is a Cantor set.

As a corollary of a result mentioned earlier, arbitrarily close to every parameter  $\mu$  giving rise to chaotic dynamics there is a parameter with an infinite tower. We claim that this property is general among discrete dynamical systems in any dimension (work in progress).

